

it's not appropriate. What about, besides telephone records, what about memorandum?

SENATOR HALL: Senator,...

SENATOR BEUTLER: If...if, for example, under...we adopted this amendment and the Auditor was doing the audit and there was some...is there a provision for sensitive memorandum? What happens with those?

SENATOR HALL: Madam President and members, Senator Beutler, you hit on an area that I was not going to address on this issue because I didn't want to fuddle up the mess, I...because what you do when you take the Landis-Ashford amendment is you have taken 579 which was a prohibitive act, in other words, it says you shall not do these things, and you make it a positive act with the Landis amendment. You then give him authority to not only do phone records but to look at memorandum, to very likely now make a very good case, I would believe, if you adopt this amendment and enact it into law, to look at the per diem expenses that are currently being audited. In other words, you turn the whole concept of 579 on its head with the Landis amendment because you take what was basically...

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, and under the Landis amendment then there is no provision for sensitivity of memorandum or any other provision?

SENATOR HALL: The only thing it addresses is...for sensitive purposes is the phone records.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, let me ask you this. The provision relating to the matter of alleged violations for personal use going to the Executive Board for action, is that in the original bill?

SENATOR HALL: Yes, it is.

SENATOR BEUTLER: Okay, and what is the hammer that the Executive Board has over a member of the Legislature if he...if he or she just says go to you know where?

SENATOR HALL: The Legisla...the Exec Board has the ability to sanction a member. And I mean we can...we can make that motion, bring it out to the floor and address it here on the floor if a