

only theory you could use for striking transportation and storage. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Beutler. Senator Beutler, did you wish to be recognized again?

SENATOR BEUTLER: Am I the last speaker?

PRESIDENT MOUL: No, Senator Dierks, also.

SENATOR BEUTLER: I'll wait.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Okay. Senator Dierks.

SENATOR DIERKS: Madam President and members of the body, I'm having a little difficulty with the wording here, Senator Elmer. Safe handling is somewhat of a broad term. I think that could be included...could be meant to include transportation and storage, but I have some difficulty by removing the words "transportation and storage" as it relates to the use of the product on farms and ranches, because I think it's extremely necessary that we have governance at the agricultural department level to discuss those terms. So I guess I'm having some trouble with your amendment. At this point, I don't think I can support that. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Dierks. Senator Beutler. Senator Elmer.

SENATOR ELMER: Thank you, Madam President. Senator Dierks, the transportation of various restricted use pesticides and dangerous materials is very strongly regulated in every aspect by the Department of Transportation and the Fire Marshal's Office and several other agencies as is the storage of these materials by anyone involved and is really redundant in requiring the Department of Agriculture to write further rules and regulations regarding these matters. In other places in the bill, the department has the authority to do various things if the existing rules and regulations evolved that are already promulgated are disobeyed to enforce some of those as part of their enforcement process. My only theory here is that why should we waste the department's time rewriting so many rules and regulations that are already in place as far as the storage and transportation of these things from the manufacturing point clear to their point of use? Thank you.