

transportation, storage, display, distribution, use and disposal of pesticides and their containers. Broad, undefined, unrefined, unrestricted language that deals with much, much more potentially than labeling, just strictly labeling or the certification of applicators. And that is the major problem with this bill, other than the tax problem, is that it is so broadly drawn that it calls into question, in my mind, putting this in the Department of Agriculture. If this bill were limited to the labeling of products and to the certification of applicators, I wouldn't be standing here today beginning this discussion. But it is a broad range...ranging bill, a far-reaching bill, and we can let it go the way it is today but if we do, I assure you that next year there will be another FIFRA bill and the year after another FIFRA bill until we get the communication between departments and the authorities between departments sorted out. But I would suggest to you that a lot of good could be done by making some amendments today, making some basic philosophic decisions today and letting the parties go back and work out the technical details before Final Reading, probably have a little narrower bill, but a better drafted bill, a bill that's not going to get us into litigation in the short term, but a bill that I think can still be acceptable to the agricultural interests. We can leave it in the Department of Ag, make some changes with this broad area called the state management plan. I mean, either that should go out of the bill or there should be additional authority with the Department of Health and the Department of Environmental Quality to participate in this state management plan because this is much broader than the original idea of FIFRA as presented by the federal government. So there's much good that can be done today. I hope you will...I hope you will listen. I hope you took very seriously and will key on the distinctions made by Senator Coordsen because I think his troubles are really...to a certain extent, we're going down the same path here, but that's certainly a major...should be a major area of concern, not for just urban interests but very much for agricultural interests. You know, the question is, how many different agencies do you want coming down on your farmers? You really need to think about that. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Beutler. We will now vote on the Beutler amendment to LB 588. All those in favor please vote aye, opposed nay. Have you all voted? Please record, Mr. Clerk.