

January 8, 1993

will be taken up one by one. So the process will not be changed. Hopefully, it will be...the process, as we've established, will be enhanced somewhat by this motion, and I thank Senator Crosby for making this motion to make the process work as efficiently as possible. I urge the body to support the motion.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Bernard-Stevens. Senator Robak, followed by Senators Wesely, Pirsch, and Schimek. Senator Robak.

SENATOR ROBAK: Thank you, Madam President. Is there something wrong with this? Thank you, Madam President and members of the body. I, too, share this frustration and concern that some of the senators do that did not get their wishes, and I fail to see...and I know that the Committee on Committees has done the best they can, but I fail to recognize why...why we were asked what committees we wanted to be on when we do not get either one of the committees that I wanted to be on, and the one I specifically asked not to be on, I was placed back on that committee. However, there was a man in my caucus that was willing to trade with me, and Senator Coordsen did put that amendment up. But I don't understand the workings of a committee, and where freshmen senators would get their choice over somebody that has been in the body for four or eight years or 12 years. I am glad the freshmen get to have the committees that they want to have. I did get the one that I wanted when I was a freshman, and I enjoyed it, but I don't understand the thinking of the committee I guess. Thank you.

PRESIDENT MOUL: Thank you, Senator Robak. Senator Wesely.

SENATOR WESELY: Thank you, Madam President and members. I understand Senator Robak's question about the process. I have served on the Committee on Committees probably, oh, five or six different times out of my career down here. I wasn't on the committee this year, and so I am standing in support of the motion to return to the committee I am not a member of. But I think having served on it long, I know that the process works better if the questions that come out after the Committee on Committees meets can work back through the Committee on Committees, that is a system that we almost ought to put into the rules, when we look at the rules next week, that is a more systematic evaluation of statewide perspective with regional representation and it is a difficult process. I think for those